

<b>Family Name</b>	Simkins
<b>Given Name</b>	David
<b>Person ID</b>	1287554
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Simkins
<b>Given Name</b>	David
<b>Person ID</b>	1287554
<b>Title</b>	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>I believe the site allocation of JPA35 is a bad idea for the following reasons:</p> <p>Traffic</p> <p>Mosley Common and its surrounding areas already suffer from extremely poor traffic conditions, road use and bus services in the area are already over-subscribed with the town's current population, travelling during non peak times is also bad. As there are no rail transport options in the Mosley Common area, there is a higher reliance and need for residents to use car transportation in order to get around - this only worsening the traffic conditions on the road.</p> <p>By adding more buses to the (already heavily oversubscribed at peak hours) guided busway service, this will further impact local traffic at peak hours because many traffic light systems prioritise guided busway traffic over the standard road network.</p> <p>Residents struggle to go about their daily business currently as a result of the traffic situation. This will only worsen with the addition of more car journeys to the network.</p> <p>Lack of infrastructure and impact on local services</p> <p>The PfE plan does not provide suitable additions to local infrastructure to support the additional people who will be living in the area. There are no additional shops, dentists, gyms, play areas, post offices. The guided busway services are heavily oversubscribed at peak time and other forms of public transport are severely lacking.</p> <p>Green Belt Loss</p> <p>It is unnecessary to remove this land from the greenbelt. There is sufficient land available in brownfield sites to satisfy demand. Loss of this greenbelt land from around Mosley Common will result in a severe reduction of amenity.</p>

It does not appear that the exceptional circumstances test has been met to remove this land from the Green Belt.

#### Wildlife Loss

The proposed site allocation is made up of numerous fields and woodland areas - there is an abundance of wildlife that live within this part of the green belt. The plans will eliminate habitats and territories for hundreds of species.

#### Land not suitable to build on

The land was formerly used for mining and regularly floods. The access roads proposed from Mort Lane and Wellington drive are completely unsuitable as Mort Lane is frequently bumper to bumper with traffic and Wellington drive is a quiet residential road. When the high pressure gas main was laid throughout the site archaeological remains of the roman road were exposed.

#### Air Pollution

The site will be adjacent to the new greater Manchester clean air zone and will add significant extra emissions in the form of vehicular traffic.

The main road in and out of the area is via Mosley Common road, this road is regularly congested with slow moving traffic and produces a lot of pollution/emissions from cars. This road runs straight in front of a primary school (St Johns Mosley Common Junior & Infant School) - pollution and deadly toxins will be rife in the area during school hours.

**Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.**

Clear and involved consultation process - this process has been under the radar and hasnt involved residents at all - i worry that this means less people will object because less people actually know about it. The council and places for everyone have had minimal to no communication with residents.

The plans need to make more adjustment to resolve traffic and transport issues - the area can not continue to grow with the issues that they already have. The issues are going to be compounded by more traffic on the road.

I genuinely worry for the next generation in the town because pollution is so high (especially near St Johns school) - i worry that traffic emissions could harm or even worse kill some of these children!

We need to protect our green belt and our wildlife - these plans would kills of hundreds if not thousands of animals and the small amount of green land in the middle of the plans is so small its completely inappropriate. More thought and effort needs to be made to address wildlife concerns.